

Dismal Performance to BHEL, Bangalore

401. SHRI K.B. KRISHNA MURTHY: Will the Minister of HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the dismal performance of Bharat Heavy Electricals Ltd., Bangalore in the current year for the first time in its history;

(b) whether BHEL, Bangalore has been consistently turning out good performance since its inception;

(c) if so, the reasons for the recent dismal performance by BHEL, Bangalore; and

(d) what steps are being taken to revitalise the functions of BHEL, Bangalore and bring it back to normalcy?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES (DR. VALLABHBHAI KATHIRIA): (a) to (c) BHEL has three Units at Bangalore viz. Electronics Division (EDN), Electro-porcelains Division (EPD) and Industrial Systems Group (ISG). All these units have been doing well since their inception. However, during the current year it is expected that there would be a marginal decline in the turnover of EDN. Turnover Performance data for the last three years along with revised estimates for the current year is as below:

<i>Turnover performance</i>			
			(Rs./Lakhs)
Year	EDN	EPD	ISG
1997-98	40447	6210	3942
1998-99	43032	6631	6423
1999-2000	46272	7046	5377
	(Revised Estimates)		
2000-01	45032	8300	7174

The current year performance is under pressure due to sluggish growth in the Capital goods sector and due to a number of projects in the power and industry sectors either getting delayed or not materialising.

(d) The performance of a unit is also dependent on the economy particularly the capital goods sector. On its part, BHEL has taken up modernization of its

facilities wherever required. BHEL has also identified new areas of business to introduce new products in electronics and defence areas.

Settlement between Management of MUL and Employees Union

402. SHRI SANJAY NIRUPAM: Will the Minister of HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have accepted the settlement reached on 8th January, 2001 between the Management of Maruti Udyog Ltd., and the Employees Union;

(b) whether it is a fact that 92 employees were suspended/dismissed from service;

(c) if so, the details of steps taken to reinstate the services of all such employees;

(d) whether it is also a fact that some employees have not been taken back in service; and

(e) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES (DR. VALLABHBHAI KATHIRIA): (a) Maruti Udyog Ltd. (MUL) is a non Government Company. The Management of MUL and the Employees Union reached an agreement on 8th January, 2001. The agreement has been registered with the State Labour Department, Haryana.

(b) A total of 70 workmen were dismissed and 10 workmen suspended during the agitation.

(c) to (e) As per the Terms of the Settlement, 41 workmen have been taken back. In cases of 10 employees who were suspended and 5 employees where termination was converted into suspension, action will be taken as per the Certified Standing Orders of the company. In the remaining cases of 24 dismissed employees, the law will take its own course.

Creation of Posts of Hindi Officers/Translators/Assistants

403. DR. Y. LAKSHMI PRASAD: Will the Minister of HEAVY INDUSTRIES AND PUBLIC ENTERPRISES be pleased to state:

(a) the number of posts of Hindi Translators/Hindi Officers/Assistants created in his Ministry and allied PSUs/Attached and Subordinate Offices for